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ABSTRACT

In a computational fluid dynamics, trials have been conducted on the heat transfer and friction factor for a solar air heater duct with triangular cross-sectional ribs without symmetric gaps. In the CFD investigation, it was assured that the height is 2mm, the pitch (p) of the ribs is 20, the relative roughness pitch (p/e) is 10mm and the angle of attack taken was 60°. This investigation was carried out on a Reynolds Number (Re) ranging within 4000-12000. Results of this analysis is compared with experimental results of Rajesh maithani and J.S. Saini for solar air heater with absorber plate having triangular cross section ribs and absorber plate having v-shaped circular cross section ribs with symmetrical gaps at optimum designed value of ribs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview:

In the recent years demand of energy is increase continuously, to meet the current demand of energy conventional sources of energy is not sufficient also the stock of conventional sources of energy is limited in future we needs alternate sources of energy, one of the most promising sources of energy which never end found by the energy researcher is non-conventional source of energy. Some of the non-conventional source of energy are solar energy, wind energy, ocean thermal energy, tidal energy, this are also called as natural sources of energy. Out of this solar energy is called as primary source of energy because the other energy like wind energy, ocean thermal energy are derived from the solar energy.

1.2 Current analysis:

This research is also carried out on solar air heater in which air is heated by flowing it forcefully though the duct and absorber plate is place on the top wall, for research purpose we give heat flux of 1000 w/m\(^2\) instead of solar energy in the absorber plate.

1.3 Analysis aim:

The main of the analysis is to increase the net gain in thermal energy with minimum drop in pressure while flowing across the absorber plate. For this roughness element shape and its dimension like height, pitch, and angle through which air strikes the roughness element should be such that it offer optimum amount heat transfer with minimum loss of pressure. To achieved this task research of various researcher in this field is study and found various design of absorber plate which have its own advantage and disadvantage, also selecting a particular design required an experimental checking of its performances in term net heat gain and pressure drop, this experimentally checking is time consuming and economically it is not affordable .in order to avoid this experimental work CFD analysis of a solar air heater is best option.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

K.R. Aharwal,B.K.Gandhi,J.S. Saini, [1] researched in the year 2008, author used square inclined ribs with gaps in it, and found friction factor and Nusslet number increases in air by an amount as compare to flow of air in smooth duct for Reynolds number ranging from 3X10\(^3\) to 18X10\(^3\)with rib geometry having 600 angle of inclination.

V.S. Hans, R.P. Saini, J.S. Saini [2] researched in the year 2009, author used roughness in the form of multiple V-ribs having circular cross section and found enhancement in Nusslet number and friction factor in air as compared to flow of air in smooth duct when angle of attack is 600 for Reynolds number ranging from 2X10\(^3\)to 20X10\(^3\)

S.V. Karmare A.N. Tikekar [3] researched in the year 2009, for the thermal efficiency and effective
efficiency in a solar duct by using cylindrical metal grits roughness in the absorber and concluded that gain in thermal energy increases with increasing in the Reynolds numbers but it is not same in the case net gain thermal energy because increase in Reynolds number increases pumping power for the flow of air as compared to gain in thermal energy, this analysis also concluded that at high value of isolation the optimum condition for net gain in thermal energy shift towards high value of Reynolds number.

M.K. Gupta, S.C.Kaushik [4] researched in the year 2009, for the performance of solar by using metal mesh as an roughened element on absorber plate in heater, in terms of energy augmentation ratio [EAR], and concluded that effectively energy augmentation considering pressure drop [EXAR] is increase with increasing ratio of rib height /equivalent hydraulic diameter of collector duct keeping the other parameters of metal mesh constant for low Reynolds number however for high Reynolds number this trend is opposite because more pumping power is requires as compare to effective thermal energy gain by the air from the absorber plate.

Also this research show that EXAR increase with increase in the length of ratio of short way mesh/rib height keeping the other parameters constant for low range of Reynolds number and again for high Reynolds number this trend again reverse, finally this research concluded that maximum value of net energy augmentation ratio is obtained at s/e=25 by keeping ratio of intensity of radiation to height of grit constant.

SantoshB.Bopche, MadhukarS.Tandale [5] researched in the year 2009, on solar air heater by taking collector plate with inverted U type roughness element in the absorber plate and check the effect of this roughness element, on the behalf of his experimental work they concluded that there is an enhancement in heat transfer coefficients and friction factor even at low Reynolds number as compared to smooth duct. In his experimental work they find that pitch and height of the roughness element strongly affect the heat transfer in duct and found maximum enhancements of heat transfer from collector to air is occur at pitch of 10 mm and height of rib is 1.5 mm


Keeping the relative roughness height constant i.e., ratio of rib height to the hydraulic diameter of the duct, Nusslet number vary with the variations in Reynolds number and the value of Nusslet number for each Reynolds number at this geometry of ribs is higher than the Nusslet number of smooth duct.

Keeping the relative roughness height constant friction factor decreases for each increase in Reynolds number but the value of friction factor at this geometry of ribs is higher than the friction factor of smooth duct.

At higher value of relative roughness at this geometry the net heat transfer in air is less with the increase in Reynolds number.

Keeping the roughness height constant maximum value of Nusslet number and friction is obtained at an angle of attack of 600

Giovanni Tanda [7] researched in the year 2011, on the solar air heater by taking absorber plate with continuous 450 inclined ribs, transverse continuous rib, and transverse broken ribs, discreet v-ribs out of this four configuration of absorber plate he found in his study that maximum enhancements in heat transfer to air is occur in transverse broken rib and the maximum value of friction factor is found in the same type of rib. The net efficiency of transverse broken ribs at solar intensity 1000 w/m² and for a fixed value e/De i.e., ratio of rib height to hydraulic diameter of duct is more than the other type of rib.

III. NUSSLET NUMBER

Nusslet number is calculate from the relation h*D (hydraulic diameter)/K, here also the value of D and K are constant where the value of h is taken from the contour of surface heat transfer coefficient at different Reynolds number.

Nusslet number at Reynolds No.4X10³ for triangular ribs

Nusslet number = h*D (hydraulic diameter)/K
D (hydraulic diameter) = 0.0332 m, K = 0.0242 kg/m³, h=(63+60+57+53+50+47+43+40+37+33+29+25+22+18+14+10+7+5+3+2+0)/21, h = 30

Figure 1 contour of surface heat transfer coefficient across the triangular shaped ribs absorber

Nusslet number = (30*.0332)/0.0242
Nussle number = 41.15

Nusslet number at Reynolds No.8X10³ for triangular ribs
Figure 2 contour of surface heat transfer coefficients across the triangular shaped ribs absorber plate

\[ h = \frac{(210+202+191+185+177+148+137+125+112+92+83+74+61+40+28+17+9+4+0)}{21} \]

\[ h = 105.61 \]

Nusslet number = \( \frac{105\times0.0332}{0.0242} \)

Nusslet number = 144.89

Nusslet number at Reynolds No.12X10^3 for triangular ribs

Figure 3 contour of surface heat transfer coefficients across the triangular shaped ribs absorber plate

\[ h = \frac{(255+245+226+210+196+183+172+158+145+131+106+102+90+78+64+50+33+20+12+6+0)}{21} \]

\[ h = 118.66 \]

Nusslet number = \( \frac{118.66\times0.0332}{0.0242} \)

Nusslet number = 162.78

IV. FRICTION FACTOR

Friction factor across the triangular cross section V-ribs is calculated with the help of dracy-weisbach relation, \[ f_s = (0.5\times\Delta P\times D_{hydraulic diameter})/(\rho\times L \times V^2) \]

Here, \( \Delta P \) = pressure drop across the triangular cross section ribs in solar duct

\( V \) = velocity of air across the triangular cross section ribs in solar duct. Also \( \rho = 1.225\text{ kg/m}^3, L = 1\text{ m} \)

Value of \( \Delta P \) and \( V \) is calculated from the contour obtained from the analysis at Reynolds no 4X10^3, 8X10^3, 12X10^3.

Friction factor at Re 4X10^3

Pressure drop across the testing plate in solar duct \( \Delta P = 101328-101324=4\text{ N/m}^2 \)

Plate length \( L = 1\text{ m} \)

Density \( \rho = 1.225\text{ kg/m}^3 \)

Velocity across the testing plate \( V = 1.22\text{ m/s} \)

\[ f_s = \frac{(0.5\times4\times0.0332)/(1.225\times1\times1.222)}{1.22}, f_s = .036 \]

Friction factor at Re 8X10^3

Figure 5 contour of pressure at the outlet of test plate

Figure 6 contour of velocity across the test plate

Friction factor at Re 8X10^3
Friction factor at Re 12X10^3

\[ f_s = \frac{0.5 \Delta P \cdot D_{hydraulic \ diameter}}{\rho \cdot L \cdot V^2} \]

Pressure drop across the testing plate in solar duct \((\Delta P) = 101334 - 101320 = 14\text{N/m}^2\)

Velocity across the testing plate = 2.35\text{m/s}^2

Plate length \((L) = 1\text{m}\)

Density \((\rho) = 1.225\text{kg/m}^3\)

\[ f_s = \frac{0.5 \cdot 14 \cdot 0.0332}{1.225 \cdot 1 \cdot 2.35^2}, f_s = 0.034 \]

Friction factor at Re 12X10^3

\[ f_s = \frac{0.5 \Delta P \cdot D_{hydraulic \ diameter}}{\rho \cdot L \cdot V^2} \]

Pressure drop across the testing plate in solar duct \((\Delta P) = 101338 - 101312 = 26\text{N/m}^2\)

Plate length \((L) = 1\text{m}\)

Density \((\rho) = 1.225\text{kg/m}^3\)

Velocity across the testing plate = 3.44\text{m/s}^2

\[ f_s = \frac{0.5 \cdot 26 \cdot 0.0332}{1.225 \cdot 1 \cdot 3.44^2}, f_s = 0.0298 \]
V. COMPARISON OF NUSSLET NUMBER AND FRICTION FACTOR IN BETWEEN TRIANGULAR CROSS SECTION RIBS AND CIRCULAR CROSS SECTION V-SHAPED RIBS WITH SYMMETRICAL GAP

Friction factor and Nusslet numbers [8] in which author is taken absorber plate having V-shaped circular cross section ribs with symmetrical gap, author in his experiments work find out that maximum value of Nusslet number and friction factor is obtained for a geometry which have maximum no gap 3, angle of attack is 60°, gap to height of rib ratio is 4, pitch to height of rib ratio is 10, so at different Reynolds numbers the value of friction factor and Nusslet number for this geometry is tabulate below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
<th>Friction factor (circular)</th>
<th>Nusslet number (circular)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4X10³</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8X10³</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12X10³</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 values of friction factor and Nusslet number for circular ribs at different Reynolds number

Friction factor and Nusslet number for triangular ribs at different Reynolds number is tabulate below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
<th>Friction factor (calculate for triangular ribs from CFD analysis)</th>
<th>Nusslet number (calculate for triangular cross section ribs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4X10³</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>41.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8X10³</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>85.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12X10³</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>144.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 value of friction factor for triangular ribs at different Reynolds number

Percentage variance in friction factor and Nusslet number between triangular and circular ribs are tabulate below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
<th>Friction factor circular</th>
<th>Friction factor triangular</th>
<th>Percentage difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4X10³</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>2.777778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8X10³</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>5.882353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12X10³</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>2.684564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Percentage variance in friction factor

VI. CONCLUSION

Effect on Nusslet number
(a) At Reynolds number four thousand Nusslet numbers of triangular cross section ribs is 1% higher than experimental work of Rajesh maithani and j.s. saini for absorber plate which is V in shaped and circular in cross section having symmetrical gap.
(b) At Reynolds number eight thousand Nusslet number of triangular cross section ribs is 5.5% more than the author ribs.
(c) At Reynolds number twelve thousand Nusslet number is 1.3% higher than the author ribs.

This increase in Nusslet number for each Reynolds number is seen for triangular cross section because in triangular cross section ribs no gap is provided which results in more amount of air reattached to the ribs.
also triangular cross section ribs provided between aerodynamic as compared to circular cross section ribs this two factor increases the heat transfer solar air heater with triangular cross section ribs.

**Effect on friction factor**
(a) At Reynolds number four thousand friction factor of triangular cross section ribs is 2.7% higher than experimental work of Rajesh maithani and j.s. Saini for absorber plate which is V in shaped and circular in cross section having symmetrical gap
(b) At Reynolds number eight thousand friction factor of triangular cross section ribs is 6% higher than author ribs.
(c) At Reynolds number twelve thousand friction factor of triangular cross section ribs is 2.7% higher than author ribs.

This increase in friction factor for triangular ribs for each Reynolds number is because triangular ribs are continues, so it provided more surface area to the air result in higher value of pressure drop and velocity as compare to author ribs for same optimum dimension.
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