Volume-7, Issue-4, July-August 2017
International Journal of Engineering and Management Research
Page Number: 110-113

Studies on Fly-Ash Aluminum Composite Produced - A Review

Sitender[1], Mahender[2]
[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, GITAM, Kablana, Jhajjar, INDIA
[2]M.Tech Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, GITAM, Kablana, Jhajjar, INDIA

ABSTRACT

This research studies the utilization of alumina waste and silica waste for geopolymer production. The study makes the reuse of aluminum hydroxide waste (Al-waste) for geopolymers. For cement materials, both Al-waste and fly ash (FA) were mixed at different water, sand, fly ash contents of 10–60 weight%.

Keywords--- Geopolymers, Geopolymerisation, Silica, alumina waste, NaOH

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional monolithic materials have got some limitations in achieving combinations of strength, stiffness, and density (Tripathy, S). Keeping in mind the end goal to defeat these deficiencies and to meet the perpetually expanding designing requests of current innovation, metal lattice composites are picking up significance. Lately, spasmodically strengthened aluminum based metal lattice composites have pulled in overall consideration accordingly of their capability to supplant their solid partners.

Consistently, aluminum utilization is expanding for some applications, for example, anodizing forms for delivering enriching and defensive movies on aluminum and amalgams. The initial step of anodizing procedures is pre-treatment of the metal.

Geopolymer is emerging as another development material which could be delivered by the substance activity of inorganic atoms, without utilizing any Portland cement. The geopolymer binder can be produced through chemical reaction between alumino-silicate materials such as fly ash or metakaolin that are rich in SiO_2 and Al_2O_3 and alkaline solutions such as Sodium Hydroxide or Sodium Silicate.

II. PREVIOUS STUDIES ON BEHAVIOR OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

Thakur et al., (2009) has categorized geopolymer as a class of alumino silicate binding materials produced by thermal activation of solid aluminosilicate base materials such as metakaolin, fly ash, GGBS and so forth with a silicate solution and alkali metal hydroxide. The binders are presently attracting widespread attention due to their potential material as a high performance, environmental friendly and sustainable alternative to Portland cement. The development of compressive strength and microstructure of mortar specimen's and geopolymer paste prepared by thermal activation of Indian fly ash with sodium silicate solution and sodium hydroxide were examined. The effect of main synthesis parameters such as alkali content (NaB 2BO/AlB 2BOB 3B), silica content (SiOB 2B/AlB 2BOB 3B), water to geopolymer solid ratio and sand to fly ash ratio of geopolymer mixture and processing parameters for example, curing time and curing temperature on advancement of compressive quality and microstructure of fly powder based geopolymer paste and mortar were examined.

Singh et al., (2015) gave an outline of advances in geopolymers formed by the alkaline activation of alumino-silicates is presented along with opportunities for their use in building construction. The properties of mortars/concrete made from geopolymeric binders has been discussed with respect to fresh and hardened states, interfacial transition zone between aggregate and geopolymer, bond with steel reinforcing bars and resistance to elevated temperature. The durability of geopolymer pastes and concrete has been highlighted in terms of their deterioration in various aggressive environments. R&D works carried out on heat and ambient cured geopolymers at CSIR-CBRI are briefly outlined along with the product developments. Examine discoveries have uncovered that geopolymer concrete displayed relative properties to that of OPC solid which can possibly be utilized as a part of structural designing applications.

Onutai et al., (2015) has described the reuse of aluminum hydroxide waste (Al-waste) for geopolymers. For cement materials, both Al-waste and fly ash (FA) were mixed at different Al-waste contents of 10–60 weight%. The mass ratio of sodium silicate (Na2SiO3) to sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was fixed at 2.5. Here, the NaOH concentrations of 5, 10, and 15 M were used as alkaline activators for geopolymerization. Viscoelasticity measurements were used to evaluateslurry properties for the geopolymers. It demonstrated that slurry containing higher alumina has a higher elastic modulus and that the setting time is greater than that for pure FA. Then, cured at room temperature for a week and also in an oven at 60°C and 80°C for 24 h, the geopolymerization was increased with increasing concentration of NaOH. Microstructure, mechanical properties, bonding, and phases of the resultant geopolymers were ascertained after curing.

Chawakitchareon and KingThong(2016) hasdescribed the utilization of alumina waste and silica waste for geopolymer production. Alumina waste was obtained from aluminum thermal metallurgy industry; silica waste had been obtained from silicone recycle industry in Thailand. The review gone for examining the fundamental physical and synthetic properties of waste materials and furthermore the ideal extent in geopolymer generation. The results revealed that alumina waste contained 48 percent of aluminum oxide, 4.18 percent of silicon dioxide and average particle size is 36 micrometers. Silica average particle size is 49 micrometers and silica waste contained 71.3 percent of Silicon dioxide. The leaching tests of heavy metals also indicated that the level of all heavy metals concentration was over the standard set by the Ministry of Industry, Thailand. Both alumina and silica waste were considered as hazardous waste.

The results revealed that the best SiO_2:Al_2O_3 ratio must be 3:1 mixed by alumina waste 46 g. and silica waste 24 g. with 10 ml of sodium hydroxide and 20 ml of sodium silicate. This proportion gain the highest compressive strength for 265.8 kg/cm^2 at 28 days of curing which over the standard for hollow load-bearing concrete masonry units (TIS57-2530) and costs 4.03 THB/mortar. The leaching tests were estimated again after the production of geopolymer. The results indicated that the concentration of all heavy metals was within the standard set by the Ministry of Industry, Thailand. Therefore the production of geopolymer mortar from alumina waste and silica waste were not considered as hazardous waste.

Kulkarni et al., (2013) directed the review to beat the issues confronted in traditional materials, and additionally to lessen the cost of composites. Aluminum materials observed to be the best option with its attributes like high strength to weight ratio and low density. In this project we are casting aluminum based (Al 6061) composites with silicon carbide and fly ash as reinforcements, fly ash is one of the inexpensive and low density material enormously available as a byproduct during coal combustion. And then the casted components are machined to specimen dimensions and different material testing had been conducted to obtain the material properties and characteristics. The author has varied mass fraction of and fly ash (9%, 12%, & 15%) and kept SiC as constant of 9%. The author had got well progressions in mechanical properties like malleable, pressure and hardness with the expansion in weight % of fortification.

Tashima et al., (2013) studied the influence of curing time on the microstructure and mechanical strength enhancement of alkali activated binders based on vitreous calcium aluminosilicate (VCAS). For different curing times (4–168 h), mechanical strength of alkali activated mortars has been assessedat 65°C using 10 molalNaOH solution as alkaline activator.

The advance of geopolymeric response has been observed by methods for TGA and, electrical conductivity and pH estimations in a fluid suspension. The electrical conductivity has been seen in the 4–72 h time frame and there was a critical decline in pH. Besides, SEM pictures demonstrated an essential measure of (N, C) ASH gel and low porosity of the created lattice.

Patankar et al., (2012) presented the effect of concentration of temperature, sodium hydroxide, and duration of oven heating on compressive strength of fly ash-based geopolymer mortar. Sodium silicate solution containing Na_2O of 16.45%, SiO_2 of 34.35%, and H_2O of 49.20% and sodium hydroxide solution of 2.91, 5.60, 8.10, 11.01, 13.11, and 15.08. Moles concentrations were used as alkaline activators. Geopolymer mortar mixes had been prepared by considering solution-to-fly ash ratio of 0.35, 0.40, and 0.45. The temperature of oven curing was maintained at 40, 60, 90, and 120°C each for a heating period of 24 hours and tested for compressive strength at the age of 3 days as test period after specified degree of heating. Test comes about demonstrate that the workability and compressive quality both increment with increment in convergence of sodium hydroxide answer for all answer for fly slag proportions. Degree of heating also plays vital role in accelerating the strength; however there is no large change in compressive strength beyond test period of three days after specified period of oven heating.

Fan (2014) investigated the thermo-mechanical properties of a concrete arranged utilizing a class F fly fiery remains and three distinctive soluble base activators (NaOH activator, NaOH and Na_2SiO_3 blend activator, and KOH and Na_2SiO_3 blend activator) is introduced. The mechanical properties, including the compressive quality, shrinkage, weight reduction, and compound arrangement, are examined using a few key instruments, for example, X-beam Diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Energy Dispersive X-beam Spectroscopy (EDXS) estimations. The impacts of the water/powder proportion, curing strategies, cooling techniques, and fixing degree on the compressive quality and warm properties of the geopolymer items are examined and broke down in points of interest. The tried outcomes demonstrate that the geopolymer bond cured at suitable conditions can achieve a compressive quality of more than

100MPa and it additionally has an astounding warmth resistance with a surprising quality after the 500°C warming. Likewise, it is found that the examined geopolymer bond has a significantly higher spallation resistance when all of a sudden chilled off by water after the high temperature warming than the normal Portland concrete solid which has a high spallation propensity. These discoveries demonstrate that the geopolymer bond might be an astounding development material for the fire assurance and fire-inclined structures.

Muruganandhan and Eswaramoorthi(2014) have used fly ash as the reinforcement to produce the composite by stir casting. Fly ash has been chosen because of it is least expensive and low density reinforcement available in large quantities as solid waste byproduct during combustion of coal in thermal power plants. Due to low weight it can be applied in automobile and thus improving its life. The review has revealed that increase in mechanical properties up to 20% of fly ash in the matrix material. But the corrosion resistance decreases with the fly ash addition. Fly ash plays a significant role in strengthening the mechanical property. The past outcome indicates 10 to 20% expansion in mechanical properties. The rigidity, pressure quality and hardness get enhanced by including fly ash remains. The utilization of aluminum can be limited by upholding the fly ash waste. SEM investigation is done to know the circulation of fly slag with the composite.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The study was conducted to examine the fly-ash geopolymers based concrete and its applications. The study investigated various aspects of the composite mixture like compressive strength, tensile strength, hardness and so forth. Fly-ash Aluminum based geopolymer concrete is well known for its acid resistance, promising mechanical properties and fire resistance

REFERENCE

[1] Bakharev, T. (2005). “Geopolymeric materials prepared using Class F fly ash and elevated temperature curing.” Cement and Concrete Research, 35(6), 1224-1232.

[2] B. M. Mustafa Al Bakri, H. Mohammed, H. Kamarudin, I. K. Niza, and Y. Zarina, “Review on fly ash based geopolymer concrete without Portland cement,” Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2011.

[3] B. V. Rangan, D. Hardjito, S. E. Wallah, and D. M. J. Sumajouw, “Studies on fly ash-based geopolymer concrete,” in Proceedings of the Geopolymer 2005 World Congress: Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development Solutions, pp. 133–137, 2005.

[4] Cheng, T.W., and Chiu, J.P. (2003). “Fire-resistant geopolymer produced by granulated blast furnace slag.” Minerals Engineering, 16(3), 205-210.

[5] Davidovits, J. (2013). “Geopolymer chemistry and applications.”Chapter 21 and 22, 3rd edition.Geopolymer Institute, Saint-Quentin, France. ISBN: 9782951482050.

[6] D. Hardjito, S. E. Wallah, D. M. J. Sumjouw, and B. V. Rangan, “Properties of geopolymer concrete with fly ash as source material: effect of mixture composition,” in Proceedings of the 7th CANMET/ACI International Conference on Recent Advances in Concrete Technology, pp. 26–29, Las Vegas, Nev, USA, 2004.

[7] D. M. J. Sumajouw, D. Hardjito, S. E. Wallah, and B. V. Rangan, “Fly ash-based geopolymer concrete: an application for structural members,” in Proceedings of the Geopolymer 2005 World Congress: Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development Solutions, pp. 149–152, 2005.

[8] Guerrieri, M. and Sanjayan, J.G. (2010). “Behavior of combined fly ash/slag based geopolymers when exposed to high temperatures.” Fire and Materials, 34(4), 163- 175.

[9] Guo, X.L, Shi, H.S, and Dick, W.A. (2010). “Compressive strength and microstructural characteristics of class C fly ash geopolymer.” Cement and Concrete Composites, 32(2), 142-147.

[10] Hardjito D. and Rangan B.V. 2006. Development and properties of low calcium fly ash based geopolymer concrete. Research report GC-1. Faculty of Engineering, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia.

[11] J. Fongjan and L. Ludger, “Effect of high silica content materials on fly ash-based geopolymeric cement materials,” in Proceedings of the Geopolymer 2005 World Congress: Green Chemistry and Sustainable Development Solutions, pp. 107–111, 2005.

[12] Kong, D.L.Y., and Sanjayan, J.G. (2010).“Effect of elevated temperatures on geopolymer paste, mortar and concrete.”Cement and Concrete Research, 40(2), 334- 339.

[13] K.N.P.Prasad, M.Ramachandra, “Effect of Squeeze Pressure on The Hardness and Wear Resistance of Aluminium Fly Ash Composite Manufactured by Stir-Squeeze Casting”, IJEI, 2013, vol.3, issue 4, pp.01-08.

[14] M. Uthayakumar, S. ThirumalaiKumaran, and S. Aravindan, “Dry Sliding Friction and Wear Studies of Fly Ash Reinforced AA- 6351 Metal Matrix Composites”, Advances In Tribology,

[15] N. P. Rajamane and D. Sabitha, “Effect of fly ash and silica fume on alkalinity of cement mortars,” The Indian Concrete Journal, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 43–48, 2005.

[16] Palomo A., Grutzeck, M. W. and Blanco M. T. 1999. Alkali-activated fly ashes: cement for the future. Cement and Concrete Research. 29(8): 1323-1329.

[17] S. B. Suri, “Fly ash based innovative building products for construction—part-II,” CE & CR, vol. 25, pp. 134–142, 2012.

[18] S.E Wallah ,B.V.Rangan (2006), Low Calcium Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete: Long Term Properties, Curtin University of Technology, Perth , Australia.

[19] S. V. Patankar, S. S. Jamkar, and Y. M. Ghugal, “Effect of sodium hydroxide on flow and strength of fly ash based geopolymer mortar,” Journal of Structural Engineering, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 2012.

[20] Thakur R.N. and Ghosh S. 2007. Fly ash based Geopolymer composite. Proceedings of 10th NCB International Seminar. New Delhi, India. pp. 443-452.

[21] Vivekanandan.P, Arunachalam.V.P, “The Experimental Analysis of Stir Casting Method on Aluminium-Fly Ash Composites”, IJCET, 2013, Vol.3.

Xu H., van Deventer J.S.J. 2000. The geopolymerisation of alumino-silicate minerals, International Journal of Mineral Processing.59: 247- 266.

